



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,787	02/18/2004	Kevin B. Wolfe	N9295	2739

23456 7590 07/14/2005

WADDEY & PATTERSON
414 UNION STREET, SUITE 2020
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA
NASHVILLE, TN 37219

EXAMINER

LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3673

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/780,787	WOLFE, KEVIN B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frederick L. Lagman	3673	

All Participants:

(1) Frederick L. Lagman.

(2) Howard Bayless.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:
Brown 2,555,220 and Paul 992,383

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Bayless was contacted in order to discuss amending claims 1 and 10 to potentially read over Brown or Paul. It was suggested that "for filtration of waterborne sediment" be added to the preamble after "support" and to positively recite as a the sheet as a geo-textile for filtration of non-colloidal silt from a water flow . .